

Antibiotic Therapy during CRRT



Fabio Silvio TACCONE, MD Department of Intensive Care Hôpital Erasme - ULB Brussels (BELGIUM)



Adapted from : Roberts and Lipman. Springer 2007









BLOOD

Principles of antibacterial dosing in continuous renal replacement therapy Crit Care Med 2009 Vol. 37, No. Gordon Choi, MBBS, FJFICM; Charles D. Gomersall, MBBS, FJFICM; Qi Tian, PhD; Gavin M. Joynt, MBBCh, FJFICM; Ross Freebairn, MBChB, FJFICM; Jeffrey Lipman, MBBCh, FJFICM, MD Blood Unbound antibiotic molecule Very large FLOW solute molecule Antibiotic and solute bound to plasma protein Unbound solute molecule Filter membrane О FLOW Dialysate

Antibiotic Dosing in Critically Ill Adult Patients Receiving Continuous Renal Replacement Therapy

Robin L. Trotman,¹ John C. Williamson,¹ D. Matthew Shoemaker,² and William L. Salzer²

| 108 - 207 - 302 - 409 - 409 - 108 - 207 - 207 | Dosage, by type of renal replacement therapy | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| Drug | CVVH | CVVHD or CVVHDF | | |
| Amphotericin B formulation | | | | |
| Deoxycholate | 0.4–1.0 mg/kg q24h | 0.4–1 mg/kg q24h | | |
| Lipid complex | 3–5 mg/kg q24h | 3–5 mg/kg q24h | | |
| Liposomal | 3–5 mg/kg q24h | 3–5 mg/kg q24h | | |
| Acyclovir | 5-7.5 mg/kg q24h | 5–7.5 mg/kg q24h | | |
| Ampicillin-sulbactam ^a | 3 g q12h | 3 g q8h | | |
| Aztreonam | 1–2 g q12h | 2 g q12h | | |
| Cefazolin | 1–2 g q12h | 2 g q12h | | |
| Cefepime | 1–2 g q12h | 2 g q12h | | |
| Cefotaxime | 1–2 g q12h | 2 g q12h | | |
| Ceftazidime | 1–2 g q12h | 2 g q12h | | |
| Ceftriaxone | 2 g q12-24h | 2 g q12–24h | | |
| Clindamycin | 600–900 mg q8h | 600–900 mg q8h | | |
| Ciprofloxacin ^b | 200 mg q12h | 200–400 mg q12h | | |
| Colistin | 2.5 mg/kg q48h | 2.5 mg/kg q48h | | |
| Daptomycin | 4 or 6 mg/kg q48h | 4 or 6 mg/kg q48h | | |
| Fluconazole ^b | 200–400 mg q24h | 400-800 mg q24h° | | |
| Imipenem-cilastatin ^d | 250 mg q6h or 500 mg q8h | 250 mg q6h, 500 mg q8h, or 500 mg q6h | | |
| Levofloxacin ^b | 250 mg q24h° | 250 mg q24h ^e | | |
| Linezolid ^b | 600 mg q12h | 600 mg q12h | | |
| Meropenem | 1 g q12h | 1 g q12h | | |
| Moxifloxacin | 400 mg q24h | 400 mg q24h | | |
| Nafcillin or oxacillin | 2 g q4–6h | 2 g q4–6h | | |
| Piperacillin-tazobactam ^f | 2.25 g q6h | 2.25–3.375 g q6h | | |
| Ticarcillin-clavulanate ⁹ | 2 g q6–8h | 3.1 g q6h | | |
| Vancomycin | 1 g q48h° | 1 g q24h° | | |
| Voriconazole ^h | 4 mg/kg po q12h | 4 mg/kg po q12h | | |

Is it so simple?

CLINICAL PRACTICE • CID 2005:41 (15 October) • 1159



Variability of antibiotic concentrations in critically ill patients receiving continuous renal replacement therapy: A multicentre pharmacokinetic study*

Darren M. Roberts, PhD; Jason A. Roberts, PhD; Michael S. Roberts, PhD; Xin Liu, PhD; Priya Nair, FCICM; Louise Cole, PhD; Jeffrey Lipman, MD; Rinaldo Bellomo, MD; on behalf of the RENAL Replacement Therapy Study Investigators

....

Table 4. Percentage of dosing intervals (n = 40) achieving the antibiotic therapeutic targets

| Antibiotic and Number of Samples | Lower Therapeutic Target ^a (%) | Higher Therapeutic Target ^ø (%) |
|--|--|---|
| Meropenem $(n = 17)$ | 100 | 76 |
| Piperacillin $(n = 7)$ | 100 | 86 |
| Vancomycin $(n = 10)$ | 30 | 0 |
| Ciprofloxacin $(n = 6)$ | 100 | 83 |

| Table 2. | Dose | regimens | administered | to | the |
|----------|---------|----------|--------------|----|-----|
| study pa | rticipa | ants | | | |

| Antibiotic | Dose | Cases |
|---------------|----------------------|-------|
| Meropenem | 500 mg every 8 hrs | 8 |
| | 500 mg every 12 hrs | 1 |
| | 1000 mg every 8 hrs | 4 |
| | 1000 mg every 12 hrs | 4 |
| Piperacillin | 4000 mg every 6 hrs | 4 |
| - | 4000 mg every 8 hrs | 1 |
| | 4000 mg every 12 hrs | 1 |
| | Unclear | 1 |
| Tazobactam | 500 mg every 6 hrs | 2 |
| | 500 mg every 8 hrs | 1 |
| | 500 mg every 12 hrs | 3 |
| | Unclear | 1 |
| Vancomycin | 1000 mg once daily | 10 |
| Ciprofloxacin | 200 mg every 8 hrs | 2 |
| | 200 mg every 12 hrs | 1 |
| | 400 mg every 12 hrs | 2 |
| | 400 mg every 8 hrs | 1 |

Variability of antibiotic concentrations in critically ill patients receiving continuous renal replacement therapy: A multicentre pharmacokinetic study*

Darren M. Roberts, PhD; Jason A. Roberts, PhD; Michael S. Roberts, PhD; Xin Liu, PhD; Priya Nair, FCICM; Louise Cole, PhD; Jeffrey Lipman, MD; Rinaldo Bellomo, MD; on behalf of the RENAL Replacement Therapy Study Investigators

Sieving Coefficient



Drug's Charge

Amikacin (CAT) if albumin retention (AN)

Gibbs-Dohan Effect

Charged particles across the membrane

Membrane absorption

Sulfonated Polyacrylonitrile - Amikacin

Variability of antibiotic concentrations in critically ill patients receiving continuous renal replacement therapy: A multicentre pharmacokinetic study* Crit Care Med 2012 Vol. 40, No. 5

Darren M. Roberts, PhD; Jason A. Roberts, PhD; Michael S. Roberts, PhD; Xin Liu, PhD; Priya Nair, FCICM; Louise Cole, PhD; Jeffrey Lipman, MD; Rinaldo Bellomo, MD; on behalf of the RENAL Replacement Therapy Study Investigators



Q filtration / Q dyalisate

CVVHDF > CVVH Too High = Decreased Sc

Protein Binding

Oxacillin, Ceftriaxone, Micafungine

Residual CL

Renal and Hepatic



| | ATB | Dosing | Pts | Membrane | Technique | Results |
|---------------------|-------|----------------------------------|-----|----------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Traunmuller 2002 | CEFTA | 2g q 8 h | 12 | PSF | CVVH | MIC 4 OK MIC 8 NO |
| Allaouchich 1997 | CEFE | 2g q12h | 6 | AN69 | CVVH | MIC 8 = 2/6 PK |
| Capellier 1998 | PIP | 4g q 8 h | 10 | NR | CVVH | MIC 16 = OK |
| Valtonen 2001 | PIP | 4g q8h | 6 | PSF | CVVHD CVVH | MIC 16 OK |
| Valtonen 2000 | MERO | 0.5g q12h 1g q12h | 6 | PSF | CVVHD CVVH | MIC 2 OK |
| Krueger 2000 | MERO | 0.5g q 12 h | 8 | PSF | CVVH | MIC 1 OK MIC 2 = 5/8 |
| Robatel 2003 | MERO | 0.5g q12h 1g q12h | 15 | PSF | CVVHDF | MIC 2 = 1g q12h |
| Giles 2000 | MERO | 1g q 12h | 10 | PAN | CVVH CVVHDF | MIC $2 = OK$ |
| Ververs 2000 | MERO | $0.5 	ext{g} 	ext{q} 12 	ext{h}$ | 5 | NR | CVVH | MIC $2 = OK$ |







| Cefepime |
|--------------------------------------|
| Cefotaxime |
| Ceftazidime |
| Ceftriaxone |
| Clindamycin |
| Ciprofloxacin ^b |
| Colistin |
| Daptomycin |
| Fluconazole ^b |
| lmipenem-cilastatin ^d |
| |
| Levofloxacin ^b |
| Linezolid ^o |
| Meropenem |
| Moxifloxacin |
| Nafcillin or oxacillin |
| Piperacillin-tazobactam ^f |

1–2 g q12h 1–2 g q12h 1–2 g q12h 2 g q12–24h 600–900 mg q8h 200 mg q12h 2.5 mg/kg q48h 4 or 6 mg/kg q48h 200–400 mg q24h 250 mg q6h or 500 mg q8h 250 mg q24h^e 600 mg q12h 1 g q12h 400 mg q24h 2 g q4–6h 2.25 g q6h

2 g q12h 2 g q12h 2 g q12h 2 g q12-24h 600–900 mg q8h 200-400 mg q12h 2.5 mg/kg q48h 4 or 6 mg/kg q48h 400–800 mg q24h^c 250 mg q6h, 500 mg q8h, or 500 mg q6h 250 mg q24h^e 600 mg q12h 1 g q12h 400 mg q24h 2 g q4–6h 2.25-3.375 g q6h

Trotman, Clin Infect Dis 2005



CRRT : β - lactams







CRRT : β - lactams







$CRRT : \beta$ - lactams



Insufficient doses of β-lactams in

- Early phase (day 1-2) especially in Cephalo / PTAZ (12g/d)
- Higher MIC pathogens
- **PTAZ** :
- CEFE:
- MERO:
- CEFTA:

2.25g q6h 1-2g q12h 1g q12h 1-2g q12h























CI of *β*-lactams



Continuous Infusion of Beta-Lactam Antibiotics in Severe Sepsis: A Multicenter Double-Blind, Randomized Controlled Trial

Joel M. Dulhunty,¹ Jason A. Roberts,¹ Joshua S. Davis,² Steven A. R. Webb,³ Rinaldo Bellomo,⁴ Charles Gomersall,⁵ Charudatt Shirwadkar,⁶ Glenn M. Eastwood,⁴ John Myburgh,⁷ David L. Paterson,⁸ and Jeffrey Lipman¹



| Endpoint | Intervention Group | Control Group | Р |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|------|
| Plasma antibiotic concentration >MIC | 18 (81.8%) ^a | 6 (28.6%) ^a | .001 |
| Clinical cure (test of cure date) | 23 (76.7%) | 15 (50.0%) | .032 |
| Clinical cure (test of cure date with treatment exclusions) | 21 (70.0%) | 13 (43.3%) | .037 |

MAJOR ARTICLE





Once-Daily Amikacin Dosing in Burn Patients Treated with Continuous Venovenous Hemofiltration[⊽]

Kevin S. Akers,^{1,2} Jason M. Cota,³ Christopher R. Frei,^{4,7} Kevin K. Chung,⁵ Katrin Mende,^{1,6} and Clinton K. Murray^{1,2*}

TABLE 1. Clinical and pharmacokinetic variables in burn patients

ANTIMICROBIAL AGENTS AND CHEMOTHERAPY, Oct. 2011, p. 4639-4642

| | Without CVVH | With CVVH | Р |
|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Patients (No.) | 48 | 12 | |
| Age (years) | 37.9 ± 20.2 | 28.3 ± 8.4 | 0.11 |
| TBSA (%) | 38.4 ± 21.8 | 74.0 ± 15.9 | < 0.001 |
| Weight (kg) | 94.9 ± 20.7 | 83.3 ± 20.9 | 0.10 |
| Dose (mg) | 1320.0 ± 286.4 | 1158.3 ± 357.9 | 0.17 |
| Dose (mg/kg) | 14.2 ± 2.9 | 13.9 ± 26 | 0.32 |
| $C_{\rm max}$ (µg/ml) | 36.3 ± 10.2 | 29.1 ± 14.5 | 0.05 |
| C_{\min} (µg/ml) | 1.6 ± 4.3 | 1.5 ± 1.6 | 0.02 |
| $T_{1/2}$ (h) | 4.75 ± 5.24 | 5.49 ± 2.35 | 0.003 |
| CL _{amik} (L/h) | 7.8 ± 3.7 | 8.8 ± 8.9 | 0.37 |
| AUC_{24} (mg · h/L) | 239.0 ± 262.7 | 214.8 ± 113.8 | 0.52 |
| V(L/kg) | 0.60 ± 1.01 | 0.84 ± 1.06 | 0.36 |







Taccone FS et al. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2011





MDR pathogens





Layeux, Antimicrob Agents Chemoth 2010



MDR pathogens













Impact of Vancomycin Exposure on Outcomes in Patients With Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus Bacteremia: Support for **Consensus Guidelines Suggested Targets**

Clinical Infectious Diseases 2011:52(8):975–981

Ravina Kullar,¹ Susan L. Davis,^{1,3} Donald P. Levine,^{2,3} and Michael J. Rybak^{1,2,3}

| Characteristic $N = 308^{a}$ | Vancomycin failure <i>n</i> (%) | P (vs reference category) | Nephrotoxicity ^b <i>n</i> (% | P (vs reference category) |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| Trough <10 mg/L (<i>n</i> =70) | 46 (65.7%) | 0.001 | 10/65 (15.4%) | .682 |
| Trough 10–14.9 mg/L(n=90) | 52 (57.8%) | 0.016 | 13/76 (17.1%) | .476 |
| Trough 15–20 mg/L(n=86) | 34 (39.5%) | REF | 10/77 (13.0%) | REF |
| Trough >20 mg/L(n=62) | 31 (50.0%) | 0.206 | 17/62 (27.4%) | .032 |

* Twelve patients without trough concentrations drawn at steady state were excluded from analysis.

^b Denominators reflect exclusion of patients with end-stage renal disease from analysis of nephrotoxicity.

But this strategy is poorly effective against MIC > 1 μ g/mL

Comparison of Conventional Dosing versus Continuous-Infusion Vancomycin Therapy for Patients with Suspected or Documented Gram-Positive Infections

JOSEPH K. JAMES,¹[†] SHIRLEY M. PALMER,¹[‡] DONALD P. LEVINE,² and MICHAEL J. RYBAK^{1,2*}



FIG. 1. Mean serum vancomycin concentrations adapted to a 24-h dosing interval. O, CD; \blacklozenge , CI. The results for the concentration-time dosage interval of 12 to 24 h was simulated from mean data for 0 to 12 h.









No evidence of better clinical outcome when CI is used

Continuous versus Intermittent Infusion of Vancomycin in Severe Staphylococcal Infections: Prospective Multicenter Randomized Study

MARC WYSOCKI,¹* FREDERIQUE DELATOUR,² FRANÇOIS FAURISSON,² ALAIN RAUSS, YVES PEAN,⁴ BENOIT MISSET,⁵ FRANK THOMAS,⁶ JEAN-FRANÇOIS TIMSIT,⁷ THOMAS SIMILOWSKI,⁸ HERVE MENTEC,⁹ LAURENCE MIER,¹⁰ DIDIER DREYFUSS,¹⁰ AND THE STUDY GROUP[†]

Reduced incidence of nephrotoxicity

No studies in septic patients about which is the best regimen during CRRT



Continuous infusion of vancomycin in septic patients receiving continuous renal replacement therapy

Cecilia Covajes^a, Sabino Scolletta^a, Laura Penaccini^a, Eva Ocampos-Martinez^a, Ali Abdelhadii^a, Marjorie Beumier^a, Frédérique Jacobs^b, Daniel de Backer^a, Jean-Louis Vincent^a, Fabio Silvio Taccone^{a,*}







Continuous infusion of vancomycin in septic patients receiving continuous renal replacement therapy

Cecilia Covajes^a, Sabino Scolletta^a, Laura Penaccini^a, Eva Ocampos-Martinez^a, Ali Abdelhadii^a, Marjorie Beumier^a, Frédérique Jacobs^b, Daniel de Backer^a, Jean-Louis Vincent^a, Fabio Silvio Taccone^{a,*}





35 mg/kg LD + 14 mg/kg daily



Beumier, J Antimicrob Agents 2013

Intensive Care Med (2001) 27: 665–672 DOI 10.1007/s001340100857

ORIGINAL

Steven C. Wallis Dan V. Mullany Jeffrey Lipman Claire M. Rickard Peter J. Daley

Pharmacokinetics of ciprofloxacin in ICU patients on continuous veno-venous haemodiafiltration



Colistin Methanesulfonate and Colistin Pharmacokinetics in Critically Ill Patients Receiving Continuous Venovenous Hemodiafiltration



Colistin concentrations were below the current MIC breakpoints, and the area under the concentration-time curve for the free, unbound fraction of the drug over 24 h in the steady state divided by the MIC (fAUC/MIC) was lower than recommended, suggesting that a dosage regimen of 160 mg CMS every 8 h (q8h) is inadequate

Experience with daptomycin daily dosing in ICU patients undergoing continuous renal replacement therapy

B. Preiswerk • A. Rudiger • J. Fehr • Infection (2013) 41:553–557 N. Corti







Conclusions



Loading dose only depends on:

- Target plasma level
- Vd
- Not require adaptation

No dosage adaptations:

- High protein binding
- Non renal elimination

Increase of maintenance dose:

Clinical relevant CRRT removal



Conclusions



β-lactams

- Higher than recommended drug regimens to treat less susceptible GNB
- Rapid adjustment of daily dose (48 hrs?)
- Intensity of CRRT ? Continuous Infusion ?

Aminoglycosides

- Loading dose of at least 25 mg/kg
- Dose adjustment on pathogen susceptibility (MIC)
- TDM to avoid drug accumulation

Vancomycin

- Insufficient drug concentrations with standard regimens
- CI > II ... but not better clinical response

Thank You !!!

We know everything about antibiotics except how much to give

Maxwell Finland